

REVIEW & SCORING FRAMEWORK | EVALUATION RUBRIC

Criterion	Exceptional - 5	Great - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1	Inadequate - 0
Community Impact 30%	Application demonstrates <i>strong</i> potential to have <i>exceptional</i> positive community impact	Application demonstrates <i>strong</i> potential to have <i>significant</i> positive community impact.	Application demonstrates <i>moderate</i> potential to have a <i>meaningful</i> positive community impact.	Application demonstrates <i>some</i> potential to have <i>some</i> <i>meaningful</i> positive community impact.	Application demonstrates <i>little</i> potential to have <i>little</i> meaningful positive impact.	Application does <i>not</i> demonstrate potential for meaningful positive community impact.
Alignment 20%	Application has <i>exceptional</i> alignment to grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.	Application has <i>strong</i> alignment to grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.	Application has <i>moderate</i> alignment to grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.	Application has <i>some</i> alignment to grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.	Application has <i>little</i> alignment to grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.	Application <i>does not align</i> with the grants program philosophy and relevant strategic focus areas.
Feasibility 20%	Application is <i>nearly certain</i> to be viable and sustainable. Demonstrates appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.	Application is <i>likely</i> to be viable and sustainable. Demonstrates appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.	Application has a <i>moderate chance</i> of being viable and sustainable. Demonstrates appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.	Application has <i>some chance</i> of being viable and sustainable. Does <i>not</i> demonstrate appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.	Application has <i>little chance</i> of being viable and sustainable. Does <i>not</i> demonstrate appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.	Application has <i>no chance</i> of being viable and sustainable. Does <i>not</i> demonstrate appropriate organizational capacity to implement grant.
Innovation 10%	Application is creative and is <i>nearly certain</i> to significantly address community issues or needs.	Application is creative and <i>will likely</i> significantly address community issues or needs.	Application shows <i>some</i> creative and <i>attempts</i> to address community issues or needs.	Application shows <i>weak</i> creativity and does <i>little</i> to address community issues or needs.	Application shows <i>little</i> creativity and does <i>not</i> attempt to address community issues or needs.	Application shows <i>no</i> creativity and does <i>not</i> attempt to address community issues or needs.

REVIEW & SCORING FRAMEWORK | EVALUATION RUBRIC

Criterion	Exceptional - 5	Great - 4	Good - 3	Average - 2	Poor - 1	Inadequate - 0
Impact & Outcomes 20%	The funded work demonstrated <i>exceptional</i> , measurable, positive impact on the community, with outcomes that <i>clearly exceeded</i> expectations in scope, depth, or significance.	The funded work demonstrated <i>significant</i> positive impact, with <i>clear</i> and meaningful outcomes that <i>met</i> or slightly exceeded intended goals.	The funded work demonstrated a <i>meaningful</i> positive community impact, with outcomes that <i>generally</i> aligned with stated goals.	The funded work demonstrated <i>some</i> positive community impact, though outcomes were <i>limited</i> in scale, depth, or clarity.	The funded work demonstrated <i>little</i> meaningful positive community impact, with <i>minimal</i> or weak outcomes.	The funded work <i>did not</i> demonstrate meaningful positive community impact, or outcomes were <i>unclear</i> , unsupported, or not achieved.
